



CF/RAI/NYHQ/SP/SSC/2001-00743

Full Item Register Number [auto] **CF/RAI/NYHQ/SP/SSC/2001-00743**

Ext Ref: Doc Series/Year/Number **SP/SSC/WSC-011**

Record Item Title

**Executive Director's Information Note on the proposal for a World Summit for Children E/ICEF/1989/CRP.24); 1989: 28 April**

Date Created / on Correspondence **12/20/2001**      Date Registered **12/20/2001**      Date Closed

Primary Contact **Rijuta Tooker (Temp Assist)**  
 Owner Location **Special Session & Global Movement For Chil =**  
 Home Location **Special Session & Global Movement For Chil =**  
 Current Location **Special Session & Global Movement For Chil =**

Fd1: Type: IN, OUT, INTERNAL  
 Fd2: Sender Ref or Cross Ref  
 Field 3

File Container Record ID **CF/RAF/USAA/DB01/2001-07592**  
 File Container Record (Title) **Executive Director's Office (WSC)**

N1: Number of pages **0**      N2: Doc Year **0**      N3: Document Number **0**

Full GCG Code Plan Number  
 Record GCG File Plan

Da1: Date Published      Da2: Date Received      Date 3      Priority

Record Type **A01ed Item Corr - CF/RAI/NYHQ/SP/SSC**

Electronic Details **No Document**      DOS File Name

Alt Bar code = RAMP-TRIM Record Number **CF/RAI/NYHQ/SP/SSC/2001-00743**

Notes  
 [Archives: Folder CF-NYH-11.S. B0037 - in 1326-2. Also microfiche.]

Print Name of Person Submit Images

Signature of Person Submit

Number of images without cover

**EDWIN FARI REZ**

**12**



United Nations Children's Fund Fonds des Nations Unies pour l'enfance Fondo de las Naciones Unidas para la Infancia  
Детскому фонду Объединенных Наций 联合国儿童基金会 منظمة الأمم المتحدة للأطفال

Distr.  
GENERAL

E/ICEF/1989/CRP.24  
28 April 1989

ENGLISH ONLY

FOR INFORMATION

UNITED NATIONS CHILDREN'S FUND  
Executive Board  
1989 session

Executive Director's Information Note  
on the proposal for a World Summit for Children

Attached is an Information Note prepared by the Executive Director and reflecting discussions among UNICEF Secretariat, Governments, Members of the Executive Board, and other concerned parties, regarding the proposal for a representative World Summit for Children.

## A World Summit for Children

The following is a discussion paper on issues related to a proposed  
World Summit for Children,  
and reflects a consensus of ideas and conclusions  
derived from discussions within UNICEF  
and among Governments and other allies for children.

### Purpose of a Summit

The idea of a Summit for Children arises from the several experiences of recent years in which serious consideration of the needs/opportunities of children at the highest levels of regional international relations have been an important part of processes of increasing and accelerating actions for children within countries. Attention to children at three successive South Asian (SAARC) summit meetings, two successive African (OAU) summits, and summit-level action in Central America and the Arab States, provided not only opportunities for great public spotlights on child survival initiatives, but they also: (1) required each government to "get its own house in order" on programmes for children, so that it would not be seen as lacking among its peers; (2) generated the momentum for further accelerated interventions for children in each participating country; and (3) provide a continuing mechanism for "monitoring" delivery on the commitment which each government has made.

The USA:USSR Summit in Moscow (May-June 1988), which promised attention to the needs of children external to the two countries involved, provides leverage for strengthening the involvement of the two governments, whether individually or in possible partnership, in supporting child survival programmes worldwide. That leverage has been successfully followed-up with the USSR's dramatically increased pledge to UNICEF's General Resources for 1989 and responsiveness to consideration of other suggestions which UNICEF has offered.

Thus, a World Summit for Children would be an opportunity to take a giant step further at the highest level of leadership commitment to and identification with the needs of children. The very fact of a "summit for children" is so out-of-the-norm and unusual that the leadership gathering alone would be of enormous consciousness-raising value. In addition to having the several impacts identified above with respect to the regional and bilateral summits, whether or not a World Summit produced a detailed plan of

28 April 1989

actions for children, it would create a far greater awareness of needs and opportunities than currently exists, and would thus, in much the same fashion as the International Year of the Child in 1979, create a positive, stimulative environment not only among governments, but also among non-governmental organizations, the business sector, media, etc., and the public at-large - an environment which could be exploited into widescale additional interventions for children.

### Participation

Several concepts of the participation and format of a World Summit for Children have been suggested. It is not assumed that a Summit would be all-inclusive (ie, 159+ Heads of State/Government participating), although this would be the case if the Summit took the form of a special session of the United Nations General Assembly, either at the Head of State/Government level, or as a normal special session. Most thinking, however, has assumed a more manageably representative group, of approximately 40 participating countries.

The most viable formula for producing a relatively representative but manageable group of country participants seems to be to accept the membership of the UNICEF Executive Board as a somewhat randomly representative collection of countries (41), which is well-balanced among developing and industrialized countries, and geographically diverse. Since a Summit would not formally be a meeting of the Executive Board, this formula could be flexibly interpreted to include any additional countries which particularly take initiative to convene the Summit or whose participation is considered particularly necessary or appropriate due to the country's leadership role with respect to children. [A list of the current membership of the UNICEF Executive Board is attached for illustrative purposes.]

### Format

A one and one-half to two-day schedule would seem appropriate for a summit meeting focussed explicitly on children. This would allow presentations on the general situation of children worldwide; representative experiences illustrating opportunities and means for improving the situation of children; informal discussions; acceptance of a prepared plan for actions for children (either general or specific); and a public conclusion to the meeting.

Our own sense has been that it would be preferable for a Summit of this size to be convened in a relatively isolated and relaxed location, so as to minimize the security arrangements, local disruption, and expense, while maximizing the distinction of the Summit as an extraordinary gathering for the benefit of children. Alternatively, logistical arrangements might be simplest by convening a Summit at a United Nations headquarters location (New York, Geneva or Vienna), in either late September (associated with the opening General Debate) or late November/early December (associated with General Assembly action on the Convention on the Rights of the Child). Scheduling and travel arrangements would be minimized by scheduling a Summit during the opening General Debate of the United Nations General Assembly, when many of the involved Heads of State/Government would be present.

### Agenda

There are at least three levels of scale of an agenda which a World Summit for Children might attempt. A major contribution to the wellbeing of children could be secured by a Summit which is explicitly focussed on achieving the established United Nations goals of universal child immunization and expanded access to oral rehydration therapy (and possibly other, similar, quantifiable goals, such as the eradication of guinea worm, etc.), and adoption and subsequent ratification of the Convention on the Rights of the Child. The functional objective of such a Summit would be to generate the necessary action momentum to achieve those goals as close to their target dates as possible. Such a narrow-focussed Summit should also lay the groundwork of consciousness for "children-sensitive" development - ie, national policies which ensure that essential services for children are not regarded as "expendable" during difficult times, and ensure that the interests of children are a fundamental consideration of all development planning and economic and fiscal planning in general.

Depending upon the "confidence level" of the organizers (ie, their confidence that a constructive consensus might be achievable), however, a Summit might be more broadly action-focussed, beginning to put consciousness of "children-sensitive development" into action by addressing a larger, longer-term agenda including such issues as basic education, water and sanitation, maternal and child nutrition, etc.

A third level of scale would involve addressing the still broader issues of children in the context of "development with a human face", entering such areas as the impact of the debt crisis and adjustment on children, "real development" approaches, etc.

A Summit targetted somewhere between the minimal scale and the middle level, with attention to basic education (ie, the World Conference on Education) and other broader issues, is the most reasonable and realistic goal, and would most effectively address UNICEF's objectives and strategies for the 1990s.

### Process

The obvious question is, if there is to be a Summit, who should call it? The most practical approach seems to be similar to that used for the Cancun Summit and other ad hoc international gatherings: that one or more Heads of State/Government take a personal initiative in calling a summit-level meeting. The initiator(s) might first approach a small additional group of leaders (3 or 4, to secure geographic representation), asking them to join as a steering group, which would then collectively issue invitations to the larger group of 40 or so targetted participants. Alternatively, the initiator(s) might ask the Secretary-General of the United Nations, with their endorsement, to issue invitations for a summit-level meeting. The initiator(s) of such a call ought to be national leaders whose country(ies) and administration(s) are recognized for demonstrated sustained commitment to the interests of children, both in addressing the needs of their own children

and in assisting, according to their means, with attention to children by other countries and the international system.

#### Timing

Under general circumstances, one would assume that any serious summit meeting, especially one involving 40 or so countries, would require extensive preparatory work, and probably a minimum of 9 months' advance planning, including multiple preparatory meetings to produce the working documents for the summit and a draft conclusion. However, much of that preparatory work is already in progress or accomplished, for reasons not directly related to a Summit proposal. If, for example, a Summit is narrowly focussed on achieving the established United Nations goals of universal child immunization and expanded access to oral rehydration therapy (and possibly other, similar, quantifiable goals), the objective of the Summit would be merely to generate the necessary action momentum to achieve those goals as close to their target dates as possible; UNICEF, WHO, the Child Survival Task Force, and other participating agencies and institutions could readily identify those areas of activity and resources still required to achieve the goals. If a Summit is more broadly focussed on "children-sensitive development", the meeting could be appropriate as a working document the "Strategies for Children for the 1990s" plan which has been prepared for the 1989 UNICEF Executive Board. In addition, the World Roundtable on Children, convened in Paris in late March under the patronage of President Mitterrand and organized by UNICEF, addressed these same issues, but from a slightly more political/strategic perspective; the product of this meeting could also be drawn upon by a World Summit. If a Summit chose to address the even broader issues of children in the context of "development with a human face", its working papers, in addition to the above, could include The State of the World's Children, 1989 as a stimulative concept paper, and could draw upon the preparatory work of the International Development Strategy for the 4th Development Decade. It is only in this latter case that a somewhat more elaborate, specific-to-the-Summit preparatory process would seem necessary, probably involving an advance meeting of senior officials 1-2 months prior to the Summit itself.

Hence, it would seem entirely plausible that a World Summit for Children could effectively be convened as early as autumn (late September) 1989. Because a primary objective of a Summit would surely be to help secure the immediate UCI and ORT goals as close to the end-1990 target dates as possible, a Summit would need to occur by the first half of 1990, at the latest, in order to contribute significantly to those efforts.

#### Financial implications

There seem to be three formulas for covering the overhead costs of a world summit. In each case, it is assumed that costs of travel and accommodations for each country delegation are the responsibility of that delegation. Overhead costs would include provision of meeting and support facilities (including media facilities), any ceremonial, hospitality and "hosting" costs, and collective security costs. Overhead costs could be covered: (a) by a host country; (b) by a small group of convening and/or donor countries, as we

understand is the case for such group summits as that of the Francophone countries and the Commonwealth; or (c) by all participants, based upon the United Nations assessment formula, with a few donor countries covering the marginal assessments of non-participating countries.

In the absence of a specific proposed location(s) for a Summit, an estimate of the costs has not been prepared, as this will vary widely depending upon the availability of appropriate facilities, the need to adapt and/or equip those facilities, the availability of security provisions and personnel, and the "style" with which the meeting is organized.\*

Since it is assumed that UNICEF itself is not the convenor of a Summit, no budget provision has been anticipated for UNICEF expenses with regard to a Summit. UNICEF's involvement would be limited to such advisory and observer role as the convenors may request, which presumably might include participation in the planning process, provision of background documentation and other information materials, and representative attendance in the meeting itself. These costs would readily be assumed within normal budget provisions for the Executive Office and any other involved offices. Staff time would principally involve the Executive Director and the Counsellor to the Executive Director\*\*, and lesser commitments of time from the Deputy Executive Directors for External Relations and Programmes and miscellaneous other secretariat offices, as appropriate.

#### Summits at all levels

In addressing the Martin Luther King Day Community Convocation at the Cathedral of St. John the Divine in New York, UNICEF Executive Director James Grant underscored UNICEF's contention that effectively addressing the needs of children requires the mobilization of leadership attention at all levels - to "reach the unreached by reaching the leaders of the unreached". Noting that the community surrounding the Cathedral suffers an infant mortality rate twice that of the U.S. national average, he encouraged a New York City Summit for Children, and summits in each Borough and community district of the city.

\* one illustration of cost levels is provided by the costs reported by the City of New York for all host-provided security expenses related to the 40th Anniversary of the United Nations (October 1985), involving some 49 visiting Heads of State/Government. These costs amounted to \$3,517,006.46, which included personal security for the 49 dignitaries, 24-hour perimeter security around UN Headquarters, and hotel rooms used by security personnel.

\*\* the justification for the establishment of which post, as presented in the budget proposals before the Executive Board, includes "supporting the Executive Director in mobilizing interest at the highest government levels...with a view toward opening new opportunities [to contribute significantly in development forums to guiding the development process with children at the centre]".

This idea - that governmental, private and civic leaders ought to be enlisted in every country and every community - should be a fundamental part of the process leading to and following a World Summit. Such a mobilization of involvement - organized by heads of national governments, governors and mayors - could generate another quantum leap of vastly expanded and strengthened action for children similar to that generated by the International Year of the Child.

#### Children in a global context

The suggestion of a World Summit for Children has enjoyed a positive response from over a score of Heads of State/Government. First among them was Prime Minister Carlsson of Sweden, who issued a public statement declaring: "I share the opinion that the issue of the child must be given priority in the context of international cooperation. Children's issues must be dealt with in the economic, social and cultural reality in which we all live and strive. I therefore agree...that the time is ripe to consider a summit to discuss the situation of the child." Other Heads of State/Government who have endorsed the idea of a Summit for Children, and additional Governments which have also indicated support, are listed on the attached. In addition, the proposal has enjoyed very positive media support. Canada's national Globe and Mail editorialized: "UNICEF suggests that the time may have come for a summit of world leaders to apply more urgent remedies. Would anyone argue that it was overstating the case?", and Le Monde (Paris), in the first of two editorials supporting a Summit, asked: "Who would not support it?". The centennial congress of the Inter-Parliamentary Union (Budapest, April 1989), with 500 parliamentarians from around the world, unanimously urged "Heads of State and Government to convene a summit meeting on children's problems, set concrete goals and take positive action to protect the children of today who will make up the world of tomorrow". In follow-up to the IPU Resolution, a resolution has been introduced in both houses of the United States Congress endorsing "the call for a representative World Summit on Children at the earliest opportunity to provide a forum for governmental leaders...to commit to concrete plans of national action and international cooperation to reduce the scale of preventable childhood deaths nationally and globally" and encouraging "the President of the United States to take a leading role in ensuring the convening of a World Summit on Children at the earliest opportunity".

The idea of a World Summit for Children obviously seems compelling in its own right. But, as Secretary-General Pérez de Cuéllar observed in a public statement endorsing the State of the World's Children Report: "Children do not live and grow in a world unto themselves. They live in our world, and their survival and growth is dependent upon the health of our societies. ...the state of the world's children is linked to growth and development, just as the well-being of children is essential for sustained economic and social progress." While the situation of children cannot be separated from the situation of the world at-large, three other precepts also relate to any consideration of the value of a Summit for Children. First, countries have demonstrated in the past several years that important progress can be made for children despite adverse economic and political circumstances - even despite war and the consumption of resources that total war demands. Therefore, protection of the lives and livelihood of children should not be dependent



upon better times in general. Second, we have also demonstrated in the past several years that countries and communities are prepared to agree on actions for children even if they disagree on virtually everything else. Thus, even conflicting forces in El Salvador, Lebanon, Uganda, Afghanistan and elsewhere have agreed to cooperate - or, at least, not interfere - for the benefit of the urgent needs of children. This gives rise to a third precept: the possibility that creating opportunities to agree and cooperate on children can contribute importantly to creating environments of agreement and cooperation on a broader range of difficult issues - whether the ideological/strategic issues that separate great powers; economic issues which separate North and South; or political issues that separate conflicting forces.

The question might be asked: "Why a Summit for Children instead of a Summit on the Debt Crisis, or on the Environment, or on Trade?" The answer is not "instead of", but why not a beginning of summit-level commitment to issues upon which world collaboration can make a difference? And if we are to begin, why not begin in a sector which is ripe for collaboration because it is ripe for success? And why not begin with those whose future is the fundamental concern of every government, because they are the future? Why not begin with children? Because children cannot wait.

1988 brought a new sense of possibilities to the world: possibilities of the lessening of global tensions; possibilities of resolution of regional conflicts; possibilities of reductions in armaments and the consequent savings of resources; possibilities of increased reliance on multilateral institutions; possibilities of serious global attention to the environment, to sustainable development, and to amelioration of the debt crisis. 1988 also brought a new sense of creativity and boldness in international leadership.

The whole decade of the 1980s brought new possibilities for improving the condition of children. Might not 1989 and the decade of the 1990s see the possibilities for children opening the door toward realizing the even greater possibilities for the world at-large?

Member States of the UNICEF Executive Board 1989

Australia	Bangladesh	Belgium
Benin	Bolivia	Byelorussian SSR
Cameroon	Canada*	China*
Colombia*	Egypt	France
Germany, Federal Rep.*	Guyana*	India
Indonesia	Italy	Japan
Lesotho*	Liberia	Mexico
Nicaragua	Nigeria	Norway*
Oman	Pakistan	Phillippines
Poland*	Republic of Korea	Sao Tome and Principe
Sudan	Sweden	Switzerland
Thailand*	Turkey*	Uganda
USSR	United Kingdom	United States
Uruguay	Yugoslavia	

\* current term expires August 1989

Governments and government leaders who have endorsed the idea  
of a World Summit for Children include:

ARGENTINA

President Raul Alfonsin

AUSTRALIA

Prime Minister Robert Hawke

BANGLADESH

President Hussain Muhammed Ershad

BENIN

President Mathieu Kérékou

BOLIVIA

UNICEF Executive Board delegation

BURUNDI

President Pierre Buyoya

BURKINA FASO

President Blaise Compaore

CAMEROON

UNICEF Executive Board delegation

CAPE VERDE

President Aristides Pereira

COSTA RICA

UNICEF Executive Board delegation

EGYPT

President Hosny Mubarak

ETHIOPIA

President Mengistu haile-Mariam

GERMAN DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC

Foreign Minister Oskar Fischer

GHANA

Chairman Jerry Rawlings

GUYANA

UNICEF Executive Board delegation

HUNGARY

Foreign Minister Peter Varkonyi

INDIA

UNICEF Executive Board delegation

INDONESIA

President Suharto

ITALY

President Francesco Cossiga  
Foreign Minister Giulio Andreotti

JORDAN

Crown Prince Hassan Bin Talal

KENYA

President Daniel arap Moi

LESOTHO

UNICEF Executive Board delegation

LIBERIA

UNICEF Executive Board delegation

MALDIVES

President Maumoon Abdul Gayoom

MALI

President Moussa Traore  
(Chairman of the Organization of African Unity)

MEXICO

UNICEF Executive Board delegation

NIGERIA

President Ibrahim Babangida

PAKISTAN

Prime Minister Benazir Bhutto

POLAND

UNICEF Executive Board delegation

REPUBLIC OF KOREA

UNICEF Executive Board delegation

SAO TOME AND PRINCIPE

UNICEF Executive Board delegation

SENEGAL

President Abdou Diouf

SRI LANKA

President Ranasinghe Premadasa

SWEDEN

Prime Minister Ingvar Carlsson

TANZANIA

President Ali Hassan Mwinyi

TURKEY

Prime Minister Turgut Ozal

UGANDA

UNICEF Executive Board delegation

UNION OF SOVIET SOCIALIST REPUBLICS

UNICEF Executive Board delegation

URUGUAY

UNICEF Executive Board delegation

VENEZUELA

UNICEF Executive Board delegation

VIETNAM

Foreign Minister Nguyen Co Thach

YUGOSLAVIA

UNICEF Executive Board delegation

ZIMBABWE

President Robert Mugabe  
(Chairman of the Non-Aligned Movement)

UNITED NATIONS

Secretary-General Javier Pérez de Cuéllar

INTER-PARLIAMENTARY UNION

100th Anniversary Conference  
(Budapest - 18 March 1989)